© by IAAF
18:1; 37-45 2003

# Biomechanical analysis of Colin Jackson's hurdle clearance technique

By Milan Coh

ABSTRACT

*On the basis of the results obtained by 3 - D kinematic analysis of the 110m hurdles of the World Record holder Colin Jackson, important parameters defining a model of hurdle clearance technique have been found. Analysis was made of Jackson's technique over the 4th and 5th hurdles in his race at the Velenje-Slovenia 2002 meeting. According to the authoers, efficient hurdle clearance can be defined by the horizontal velocity of the CM during the take-off in front of the hurdle; the height of the CM during the take-off; the velocity of the knee swing of the swinging leg; the flight phase time; the smallest possible loss in the horizontal velocity of the CM during clearing the hurdle; a high position of the CM at landing; a short contact time in the landing phase; and the smallest possible vertical oscillations of the CM, head, shoulders, and hips before, during and after clearing the hurdle. Values for these parameters of Jackson's technique are given and discussed.*

AUTHOR

*Milan Coh is a professor of Track and Field in the Faculty of Sport at the university of Ljubljana. He is also an expert member of the biomechanics research team at the Insitute of Sport of the University of Ljubljana.*

## Introduction

Colin Jackson is, indisputably, one of the greatest performers in the history of athletics. In his long, extremely successful career he has set high standards in the hurdle events. He holds the World Record in the 110 metres Hurdles (12.91 - Stuttgart, 1993) and in the 60 metres Hurdles (7.30 - Sindelfingen, 1994). He has set 10 European and 8 Commonwealth records. In 1993, he was chosen for the Athlete of the Year. In the opinion of many experts he has developed his hurdling technique to perfection.

The 2002 season, in which he became the European Champion in Munich with a time of 13.11s, is probably Jackson's last in the international arena. Within the scope of his preparation for the European Championships, he took part in the international track-and-field meeting "Velenje-Slovenia 2002", where he won in the 110 metres Hurdles with the time 13.47. Thus, an oppor-

## Colin Jackson

**Biographical information:**

Born: 18 February 1967, Cardiff (GBR)
Height: 1.82 metres
Weight: 75 kilograms
Event: 110 metres Hurdles
Club: Brecon AC
Coach: Malcolm Arnold

**Progression**

| **Personal Bests – Outdoors** | | **110 mH** | | **13.11** | **2002** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | 13.32 | 2001 |
| 110m Hurdles | 12.91 | 1993 | Stuttgart, GER | 13.10 | 2000 |
| 100 m | 10.29 | 1990 | Wrexham, GBR | 13.04 | 1999 |
| 200 m | 21.19 | 1988 | Tel Aviv, ISR | 13.02 | 1998 |
| 200 m Hurdles | 22.63 | 1991 | Cardiff, GBR | 13.05 | 1997 |
| Long Jump | 7.56m | 1986 | Tel Aviv, ISR | 13.13 | 1996 |
| High Jump | 1.81m | 1982 | - | 13.17 | 1995 |
| | | | | 12.98 | 1994 |
| **Personal Bests – Indoors** | | | | **12.91** | **1993** |
| | | | | 13.04 | 1992 |
| 60 m | 6.49 | 1994 | Paris, FRA | 13.09 | 1991 |
| 50 m Hurdles | 6.40 | 1999 | Budapest, HUN | 13.08 | 1990 |
| 60 m Hurdles | 7.30 | 1994 | Sindelfingen, GER | 13.11 | 1989 |

tunity to analyse technique of this extraordinary hurdler presented itself.

Biomechanical measurements were carried out by a group of experts from the Biomechanics Laboratory at the Faculty of Sport in Ljubljana. The main objective of the study was to establish a kinematic model of hurdling technique over the fourth hurdle and a model of rhythm of running from the fourth to the fifth hurdle by means of a 3-dimensional video analysis. Why did we decide to analyse exactly these two hurdles? Some studies (LaFortune, 1988; Salo, Peltola and Viitasalo, 1993; Grimshaw, 1995; Iskra, 1995) show that the horizontal velocity of the hurdler between the fourth and the fifth hurdle is highly correlated with the end result in the 110 metres Hurdle race.

Kinematic parameters in the section between the fourth and the fifth hurdles were measured with two synchronised cameras (SONY-DSR-300 PK) placed at an angle of 120° (Figure 1). The frequency of the cameras was 50Hz. For the calculation of the body's centre of gravity, a 15-segment model (Dempster, 1955) and the kinematic program ARIEL (Ariel Dynamics Inc., USA) were used. The competition conditions were optimal: the ambient temperature was 27°, and the wind velocity w = 0.0m/s-1.




*Figure 1: Measurement procedure*

## Results of the Biomechanical Study

On the basis of the results in Table 1, the following characteristics of a kinematic model of the clearance technique of Colin Jackson at the 4th hurdle by can be established:

- Efficient hurdle clearance is defined by the length of the stride before hurdle clearance and after hurdle clearance. The total hurdle stride length in Jackson is 3.67 metres. The take-off distance is 2.09 metres , which represents 56.9% of the total hurdle stride length. The landing distance is 1.58 metres, which is 43.1% of the total hurdle stride length. This ratio is specific for each hurdler and depends, above all, on the anthropometric characteristics of the hurdler; on the stride rhythm between the hurdles, and on the push-off angle. According to the studies (La Fortune, 1991; McLean, 1994; Jarver, 1997; Salo and Grimshaw, 1998; Kampmiller et al., 1999), the optimal ratio between the take-off point and landing point is 60:40. We can see that Jackson has a slightly shorter stride before hurdle clearance and a slightly longer after hurdle clearance.

- The take-off in front of the hurdle (Figure 2) is one of the elements of vital importance to optimal hurdle clearance, since it directly defines the trajectory of the movement of the centre of mass (CM). The take-off time of the subject amounts to 100ms, with the take-off consisting of two phases: the braking phase and the propulsion phase. The braking phase must be as short as possible and depends on the angle of the placement of the take-off leg (in Jackson this angle is 64°). The propulsion phase ends with a push-off angle, which in our subject is 72.9°. These parameters point to the take-off leg being actively placed on the ground and the shoulders aggressively pushed towards the hurdle.

- The velocity of hurdle clearance depends to a large extent on the execution of the take-off, which manifests in the horizontal velocity of the CM. The horizontal velocity of the CM in the braking phase is 8.81m/s-1, while in the propulsion phase it increases to 9.11m/s-1, i.e. by 3.3%. We can see that Jackson accelerates his velocity during take-off extremely efficiently. In addition to the horizontal velocity of the CM, an important parameter of take-off is the vertical velocity, which in this case is 2.35m/s-1. The horizontal and vertical velocities define the elevation velocity of the CM, which is in this case 9.41m/s-1 and the elevation angle, which amounts to 14.5°. The relationship between these two parameters of velocity shows the athlete's

ability for an efficient transition from the running stride into the take-off stride.

- The quality of hurdle clearance is directly correlated with the height of the CM in the take-off phase. From the aspect of biomechanics, an efficient hurdle race is the one in which vertical oscillations of the CM are as small as possible (Schluter, 1981; Dapena, 1991; McFarlene, 1994; Salo and Grimshaw, 1997; Kampmiller et al., 1999). The athlete must maintain a high position of the CM during take-off. In Jackson, the height of the CM at the end of the propulsion phase is 1.08 metres, which represents 59.3% of his body height (BH=1.82 m). The raising of the CM from the braking phase to the propulsion phase amounts to 13cm. The maximum CM height thus depends on the technique of take-off in front of a hurdle and on the anthropometric characteristics of the flight.

- In addition to the above mentioned kinematic parameters, the velocity of hurdle clearance depends also on the velocity of the lead leg during the take-off phase. Jackson attacks the hurdle with his lead leg extremely aggressively. The velocity of the knee swing of the lead leg amounts to more than 13m/s-1, while the velocity of the foot of the lead leg is 18.2m/s-1, which is more than double horizontal velocity of the CM during take-off.

- The criterion of an efficient hurdle clearance technique is the shortest possible time of the flight phase (hurdle clearance time) since the hurdler loses velocity in air (Mero and Luhtanen, 1986; McDonald and Dapena, 1991; Arnold, 1995). The length of the flight of the CM of Jackson (Table 1, Figure 4) is 3.30 metres, the time of the flight phase is 0.36 seconds. In the finalists of the 110 metres Hurdles at the 1997 IAAF World Championship in Athletics in Athens, the average hurdle clearance time at the fourth hurdle was 0.34 s (Johnson 0.32s, Jackson - 0.34s, Kovac - 0.34s, Schwarthoff - 0.30s, Philibert - 0.34s, Reese - 0.38s, Crear - 0.36s). The height of the CM above the hurdle is in direct correlation with the hurdle clearance times (Dapena, 1991). As a rule, the higher the trajectory of the flight of the CM, the longer the flight phase. In Jackson, this value is 45cm, which in this case does not point to the most efficient trajectory of the flight of CM over the hurdle. The raising of CM relative to the take-off phase is thus 43cm, which is probably the result of a relatively short take-off distance.

- The landing phase (Figure 3, Figure. 4) is one of the most important elements of the hurdling technique. This phase has the largest reserve potential for improving the competition result (McLean, 1994; Arnold, 1995). In the landing phase it is necessary to carry out as efficiently as possible the transition from hurdle clearance to running between hurdles. This transition from acyclic movement into cyclic movement requires a high degree of technical knowledge, a high level of motor abilities, such as speed, strength, co-ordination, timing, and balance. In the World Record holder, Jackson, the execution of this element is really at the very top level. The contact time in the landing phase lasts only 0.08 of a second. At landing after clearing the hurdle, he maintains a high position of the CM (1.15m), which is above all due to the full extension of the leg in the hips and knee. The CM is exactly above the foot. The foot is in complete plantar flexion, thereby neutralising the ground reaction force that occurs at landing after clearing the hurdle. The ground reaction force at that moment (vertical impact force) is 2400 - 3300N (McLean, 1994). In addition to the correct technique, the ability of the muscular system known as Short Range Elastic Stiffness (Gollhofer and Kyrolainen, 1991) is important in order to enable the hurdler to neutralise such a large ground reaction force at landing after clearing the hurdle. The said ability manifests itself in muscle preactivation and action of the myotactic and Golgi tendon reflex. "Soft" landing of the hurdler after hurdle




*Figure 2: Take off phase (Colin Jackson - 13,47s)*




*Figure 3: Landing phase (Colin Jackson - 13,47s)*




*Figure 4: Hurdle clearance (Colin Jackson - 13,47s)*

clearance is indicated by the vertical velocity, which is negative and amounts to only -1.02m/s-1. The high position of the CM, the direction of the knee of the trail leg, the bending of the trunk forward (37° relative to the vertical), the timing of the arms relative to the trail leg, and a stable balance are those elements which generate the maintenance of the horizontal velocity of the CM after hurdle clearance, which is a prerequisite for an efficient model of running to the next hurdle. The horizontal velocity of the CM in the landing phase is 8.77m/s-1, which means that in the hurdle clearance phase a reduction in velocity by 0.34m/s-1, i.e. 3.7% occurred in the athlete. On the basis of this parameter it can be established that Jackson has a very efficient hurdle clearance technique, enabling him to develop optimal velocities between the hurdles.

◆ The model of running between hurdles is defined by the average velocity, by partial velocities of individual strides, by the length of strides, by their mutual relationship, and the path of the individual segments of the hurdler's body. The total length of the three strides between the hurdles is 5.50 metres: the length of the




*Figure 5: Model of stride length between the 4-th and 5-th hurdle (Colin Jackson - 13,47s)*

| PARAMETERS | Unit | R |
|---|---|---|
| **Rhythmic Units (Hurdle 4 - 5)** | **m/s-1** | **8.83** |
| **Take – off ( braking phase)** | | |
| Horizontal velocity of CM | m/s-1 | 8.81 |
| Vertical velocity of CM | m/s-1 | - 0.43 |
| Velocity resultant of CM | m/s-1 | 8.82 |
| Height of CM | m | 0.95 |
| CM to foot distance | m | 0.46 |
| Knee swing velocity | m/s-1 | 13.78 |
| Ankle swig velocity | m/s-1 | 15.13 |
| **Take – off (propulsion phase)** | | |
| Horizontal velocity of CM | m/s-1 | 9.11 |
| Vertical velocity of CM | m/s-1 | 2.35 |
| Velocity resultant of CM | m/s-1 | 9.41 |
| Height of CM | m | 1.08 |
| CM to foot distance | m | 0.38 |
| Push-off angle | 0 | 72.9 |
| Knee swing velocity | m/s-1 | 10.99 |
| Ankle swig velocity | m/s-1 | 18.22 |
| Take-off distance | m | 2.09 |
| Contact time | s | 0.100 |
| **Flight** | | |
| Flight time | s | 0.36 |
| Height of CM above the hurdle | m | 0.45 |
| Maximal height CM | m | 1.44 |
| Maximal velocity over the hurdle | m-1 | 9.05 |
| **Landing (braking phase)** | | |
| Horizontal velocity of CM | m/s-1 | 8.77 |
| Vertical velocity of CM | m/s-1 | -1.02 |
| Velocity resultant of CM | m/s-1 | 8.84 |
| Height of CM | m | 1.15 |
| CM to foot distance | m | -0.05 |
| Knee swing velocity | m/s-1 | 12.65 |
| Ankle swig velocity | m/s-1 | 13.16 |
| Landing distance | m | 1.58 |
| **Landing (propulsion phase)** | | |
| Horizontal velocity of CM | m/s-1 | 8.41 |
| Vertical velocity of CM | m/s-1 | -1.32 |
| Velocity resultant of CM | m/s-1 | 8.53 |
| CM to foot distance | m | 0.65 |
| Knee swing velocity | m/s-1 | - 9.86 |
| Ankle swing velocity | m/s-1 | - 10.56 |
| Contact time | s | 0.08 |

first stride being 1.51 metres (27.4%), the length of the second stride 2.01 metres (36.6%), and the length of the third stride 1.98 metres (36.0%) - Fig. 5. The first stride after hurdle clearance is the shortest, the second one is the longest, while the third one is again slightly shorter, so that the hurdler could take off at an optimal distance in front of the hurdle. The average length of the in-between strides is 1.83 metres. With respect to the Jackson's body height (BH = 1.82m), the length of the strides allows him to achieve optimal velocity between the hurdles.

◆ The average velocity of Jackson between H4 and H5 is 8.83m/s-1. The horizontal velocity of the CM of the hurdler in the first stride is 8.81m/s-1, in the second stride it is 9.17m/s-1, and in the third one 8.53m/s-1 - Fig. 6. A slightly smaller horizontal velocity in the third stride is the consequence of the athlete's direct preparation for the take-off in front of the hurdle, where a part of the horizontal velocity of the CM transforms into the vertical velocity. The vertical and horizontal velocity, however, directly define the velocity of the passing of the CM over the hurdle.

*Table 1: Kinematic parameters of clearing the 4th hurdle in the 110 metres Hurdles (C. Jackson - 13.47)*




*Figure 6: Dynamics of the athlete's CM velocity in clearing the 4-th and 5-th hurdle (Colin Jackson - 13,47s)*




*Figure 7: Trajectory of movement of hips, shooulders, head and CM over the 4-th and 5-th hurdle (Colin Jackson - 13,47s)*

◆ According to Fig. 7, vertical oscillations of the hips, head, shoulders and CM can be seen in the section between H4 and H5. The efficiency of the technique used by Jackson can also be assessed from the aspect of vertical oscillations of the head and shoulders during clearing the hurdle and during the running between the hurdles. These oscillations are in the order of magnitude of + 18cm. When clearing the hurdle, the athlete thus lowers the trajectory of the flight of the CM by strongly bending the trunk forward, creating thereby favourable conditions for an active landing after clearing the hurdle.

## Conclusion

On the basis of the results obtained by the 3 - D kinematic analysis of the clearance of the 4th and 5th hurdles in the 110 metres Hurdles at the international track-and-field meeting "Velenje-Slovenia 2002", where the World Record Holder Colin Jackson won in with the time of 13.47, some of the most important parameters defining a model of hurdle clearance technique have been found. Efficient hurdle clearance can be defined by the horizontal velocity of the CM during the take-off in front of the hurdle; the height of the CM during the take-off; the velocity of the knee swing of the lead leg; the flight phase time; the smallest possible loss in the horizontal velocity of the CM during clearing the hurdle; a high position of the CM at landing; a short contact time in the landing phase; and the smallest possible vertical oscillations of the CM, head, shoulders, and hips before, during and after clearing the hurdle.

## References


DAPENA, J. (1991). Hurdle clearance technique. Track and Field. Quart. Rev.116 : 3, 710-712.

DEMPSTER, W. T. (1955). Space requirements of the seated operator. WADC Technical Report, Wright-Petterson Air Force Base, Ohaio: 55 – 159.

GOLLHOFER, A. & KYROLAINEN, H. (1991). Neuromuscular control of the human leg extensor muscles in jump exercises under various stretch-load conditions. IJSM : 12 , 34-40

GRIMSHAW, P.( 1995). A kinematic analysis of sprint hurdles training strategies ( isolation drills) Athletic Coach 29 : 4, 24-28.

HOMMEL, H. & ARNOLD M. (1995). NSA photosequences 33 & 34 110 m hurdles : Colin Jackson. New Studies in Athletics 3, 57- 65

ISKRA, J. (1995). The most effective technical training for the110 m hurdlers. New Studies in Athletics, 3, 51-55.

JARVER, J. (1997). The hurdles – Contemporary theory, technique and training. El Camino Real: Tafnews Press p.131.

KAMPMILLER, T., SLAMKA, M. & VANDERKA, M. (1999). Comparative biomechanical analysis of 110 m hurdles of Igor Kova_ and Peter Nedelicky. Kinesiologia Slovenica 1-2, 26-30.

LA FORTUNE, M.A. (1988). Biomechanical analysis of 110 m hurdles. Track and Field News 105, 3355-3365.

Mc FARLANE B. (1994): Hurdles: a basic and advanced technical model. Track Technique 128: 1, 4073-4079.

McLEAN, B (1994). The biomechanics of hurdling: Force plate analysis to assess hurdling technique. New Studies in Athletics 4, 55-58.

McDONALD, C. & DAPENA, J. (1991). Linear kinematics of the men's and woman's hurdles races. Medicine and Science in Sports Exercise 23 : 12, 1382-1402.

MERO, A. & LUHTANEN, P.(1986). Biomechanische Untersuchung des Hurdenlaufs wahrend der Weltmeisterschaften in Helsinki. Leistungssport 1, 42-43.

SALO, A., PELTOLA, E., VIITASALO, T. (1993). Eninge Biomechanische Merkmale des Zwischenhurdenlaufs im 110 m Hurdenlauf. Leistungssport, 2, 59-62.

SALO, A., & GRIMSHAW P. (1995). An examination of kinematic variability of motion analysis in sprint hurdles. Journal of Applieid Biomechanics 14, 211-222.

SALO, A. & GIMSHAW P. (1997). 3 – D biomechanical analysis of sprint hurdles at different competitive level MSS 29 : 2, 231-237

SCHLUTER, W. (1981). Kinematische Merkmale der 110-m Hurdentechnik. Leistungssport, 2, 118-127.

HTT.//WWW.CELEBAGENTS.CO.UK/HTML/COLIN-JACKSON.HTML